

# COMPUTING THE CONNES SPECTRUM OF A HOPF ALGEBRA

BY

JAMES OSTERBURG

*Department of Mathematics, University of Cincinnati  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45221-0025, USA*

AND

D. S. PASSMAN\*

*Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin  
Madison, Wisconsin 53706, USA*

## ABSTRACT

Let  $H$  be a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra over the field  $K$  and let  $A$  be an  $H$ -module algebra. In a previous paper, we defined the Connes spectrum  $\text{CS}(A, H)$  for the action of  $H$  on  $A$  to be a certain subset of the set  $\text{Irr}(H)$  of irreducible representations of  $H$ . In this paper, we compute a number of examples; specifically, we consider certain inner and outer actions and we take a closer look at the cocommutative situation. We discover that the information encoded in the Connes spectrum is rather subtle and elusive.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $H$  be a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra over the field  $K$  and let  $A$  be an  $H$ -module algebra with 1. The Connes spectrum  $\text{CS}(A, H)$  for the action of  $H$  on  $A$  was defined in [OPQ] to be a certain subset of the set  $\text{Irr}(H)$  of irreducible representations of  $H$ . It was then shown, under suitable hypotheses, that  $\text{CS}(A, H) = \text{Irr}(H)$  if and only if the smash product  $A\#H$  is prime. In this paper, we continue to study the Connes spectrum; our goal here is to better understand its relationship to the  $H$ -action on  $A$  and we do this by computing a

---

\* Research supported in part by NSF Grant DMS-8900405.

Received July 14, 1991 and in revised form January 20, 1992

number of examples. Specifically, we consider certain inner and outer actions and we take a closer look at the cocommutative situation. The inner case is the most interesting; it indicates that the information encoded in the Connes spectrum is rather subtle and elusive.

We follow the notation of [OPQ]. Thus suppose that  $H$  is a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra over the field  $K$  and that  $A$  is an  $H$ -module algebra with 1. Then a hereditary subalgebra (without 1) of  $A$  is a subspace  $B = RL$  where  $R$  is an  $H$ -stable right ideal of  $A$  and  $L$  is an  $H$ -stable left ideal. Note that  $B$  is necessarily  $H$ -stable,  $B^2 \subseteq RAL = B$  and that  $B = RL \subseteq R \cap L$ . Furthermore, we let  $\mathcal{H}(A, H)$  denote the set of all hereditary subalgebras  $B \subseteq A$  with  $B \text{ reg } B$ , that is with  $\text{l.ann}_B B = 0 = \text{r.ann}_B B$ .

Now let  $\pi: H \rightarrow C$  be an irreducible representation of  $H$  and extend the left action of  $H$  on  $B$  to an action on  $B \otimes_K C$  via the formula  $h \cdot (b \otimes c) = (h \cdot b) \otimes c$  for all  $b \in B, c \in C$ . Let  $X \in B \otimes C$ ; we define three subspaces of the tensor product as follows. First,  $X \in B_\pi^m$  if and only if

$$(1.1) \quad \epsilon(h)X = \sum_{(h)} \left[ 1 \otimes \pi(h_3) \right] (h_1 \cdot X) \left[ 1 \otimes \pi(S^{-1}(h_2)) \right]$$

for all  $h \in H$ . Next,  $X \in B_\pi^l$  if and only if

$$(1.2) \quad \epsilon(h)X = \sum_{(h)} \left[ 1 \otimes \pi(h_2) \right] (h_1 \cdot X)$$

for all  $h \in H$ . Finally,  $X \in B_\pi^r$  if and only if

$$(1.3) \quad \epsilon(h)X = \sum_{(h)} (h_1 \cdot X) \left[ 1 \otimes \pi(S^{-1}(h_2)) \right]$$

for all  $h \in H$ . Of course,  $\Delta h = \sum_{(h)} h_1 \otimes h_2$  is the comultiplication of  $h$ , the map  $S: H \rightarrow H$  is the antipode and  $\epsilon: H \rightarrow K$  is the counit of  $H$ . Furthermore, “ $m$ ”, “ $l$ ” and “ $r$ ” stand for “middle”, “left” and “right”, respectively. It was shown in [OPQ] that  $B_\pi^m$  is a subalgebra (without 1) of  $B \otimes C$  and that  $B_\pi^l B_\pi^r$  is a two-sided ideal of  $B_\pi^m$ .

With this notation, the Connes spectrum  $\text{CS}(A, H)$  is defined to be

$$(1.4) \quad \text{CS}(A, H) = \{ \pi \in \text{Irr}(H) \mid B_\pi^l B_\pi^r \text{ reg } B_\pi^m \text{ for all } B \in \mathcal{H}(A, H) \}.$$

Note that, as given above,  $\text{CS}(A, H)$  makes sense even if  $H$  is not semisimple and  $K$  is not a splitting field for  $H$ . On the other hand, the latter conditions are certainly natural assumptions when dealing with  $\text{Irr}(H)$ .

We close this section with some elementary observations. To start with, we have the following result which is reminiscent of [OPQ, Lemma 4.4]. Note that  $A\#H$  is a free left and right  $A$ -module by [OPQ, Lemma 1.4(i)].

(1.5) LEMMA: *Let  $B \in \mathcal{H}(A, H)$ . If the smash product  $A\#H$  is prime or semiprime, then so in  $B\#H$ .*

*Proof:* Suppose first that  $A\#H$  is prime. Let  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  be ideals of  $B\#H$  with  $I_1I_2 = 0$  and let  $I'_1$  and  $I'_2$  be the possibly smaller ideals given by  $I'_i = (B\#H)I_i(B\#H)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Write  $B = RL$  as a product of appropriate right and left ideals of  $A$  and set  $J_i = LI'_iR \subseteq A\#H$ . Since  $R$  and  $L$  are  $H$ -stable right and left ideals of  $A$ , respectively, and since  $B\#H$  is closed under multiplication by  $H$ , it follows that each  $J_i$  is a two-sided ideal of  $A\#H$ . Furthermore, since  $I'_i \subseteq I_i$ , we have

$$J_1J_2 = LI'_1(RL)I'_2R \subseteq L(I'_1I'_2)R = 0.$$

But  $A\#H$  is prime, so this implies that  $0 = J_j = LI'_jR$  for  $j = 1$  or  $2$  and hence that  $0 = RJ_jL = BI'_jB$ . By assumption,  $B$  reg  $B$  and therefore, by freeness,  $B$  is regular in  $B\#H$ . Thus  $0 = BI'_jB$  yields  $0 = I'_j = (B\#H)I_j(B\#H)$  and, by regularity again, we deduce that  $I_j = 0$ . This handles the prime case; the semiprime result follows by taking  $I_1 = I_2$ . ■

Recall that  $A$  is  $H$ -semiprime if  $A$  has no nonzero  $H$ -stable nilpotent ideal. In addition,  $H$  is said to be strongly semiprime if  $A\#H$  is semiprime whenever  $A$  is an  $H$ -module algebra with 1 which is  $H$ -semiprime. It is clear that a finite-dimensional strongly semiprime Hopf algebra is necessarily semisimple.

(1.6) LEMMA: *Assume that  $A\#H$  is semiprime.*

(i) *If  $B \in \mathcal{H}(A, H)$  and if*

$$B^H = \{ b \in B \mid \epsilon(h)b = h \cdot b \text{ for all } h \in H \}$$

*is its subring of  $H$ -invariants, then  $B^H$  reg  $B$ .*

(ii) *The counit  $\epsilon$  is contained in  $\text{CS}(A, H)$ .*

*In particular, (i) and (ii) hold if  $H$  is strongly semiprime and  $A$  is an  $H$ -semiprime  $H$ -module algebra.*

*Proof:*

- (i) By the previous lemma,  $B\#H$  is semiprime and this allows us to use the techniques of [BeM, Section 2]. Let  $f$  be a right integral of  $H$  and let  $X = \text{r.ann}_B B^H$ . Since  $X$  is an  $H$ -stable right ideal of  $B$ , by [OPQ, Lemma 1.4(ii)], it follows that  $fX$  is a right ideal of  $B\#H$ . But  $(fX)^2 = (fXf)X \subseteq fB^H X = 0$ , so the semiprimeness of  $B\#H$  implies that  $fX = 0$  and hence that  $X = 0$  by [OPQ, Lemma 1.4(i)]. In a similar manner, we can prove that  $\text{l.ann}_B B^H = 0$  and therefore we conclude that  $B^H \text{ reg } B$ .
- (ii) Note that  $\epsilon: H \rightarrow K$  is an irreducible representation of  $H$  and that  $B \otimes K \cong B$  for any  $B \in \mathcal{H}(A, H)$ . Furthermore, equations (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) easily imply that  $B_\epsilon^m = B_\epsilon^l = B_\epsilon^r = B^H$ . In view of the definition of  $\text{CS}(A, H)$ , it suffices to show that  $B^H \text{ reg } B^H$  and this follows from (i). ■

It would be interesting to characterize those actions with  $\text{CS}(A, H) = \{\epsilon\}$ . In particular, we would like to know whether this condition is equivalent to a natural property of  $A\#H$ .

## 2. Inner Actions

If  $H$  is a Hopf algebra over the field  $K$ , then  $H$  becomes an  $H$ -module algebra by way of the adjoint action

$$(\text{ad } h)x = \sum_{(h)} h_1 x S(h_2)$$

for all  $h, x \in H$ , and it is clear that every two-sided ideal of  $H$  is  $\text{ad } H$ -stable. In particular, if  $H$  is semisimple and if  $A$  is a simple two-sided ideal of  $H$ , then  $A$  is a  $K$ -algebra with 1 and  $A$  is an  $H$ -module algebra using the restriction of the adjoint representation. The goal of this section is to compute the Connes spectrum  $\text{CS}(A, H)$  in this situation.

We will actually start with certain weaker assumptions on  $H$  and  $A$  which will be described in the next paragraph. However, there is one natural assumption on the antipode  $S$  of  $H$  which will remain in force throughout the entire section. Namely, we suppose that the automorphism  $S^2$  of  $H$  is inner, induced by the unit  $u^{-1} \in H$ . In other words,

$$(2.1) \quad S^2(h) = u^{-1} h u \quad \text{for all } h \in H.$$

Note that, if  $H$  is semisimple, then Kaplansky's conjecture asserts that  $S^2 = 1$  and this conjecture has been verified for fields of characteristic 0 by [LR]. In particular, (2.1) holds in this case with  $u = 1$ . Furthermore, if  $K$  is a splitting field for  $H$ , then it is known [L] that  $S^2$  is at least inner. In fact, even without the semisimple assumption, we have  $S^2 = 1$  if  $H$  is cocommutative. In other words, (2.1) is not an unreasonable supposition; we conclude from it that  $u^{-1}S^{-1}(h)u = S^2(S^{-1}(h)) = S(h)$  and therefore

$$\epsilon(h) = \sum_{(h)} h_1 u^{-1} S^{-1}(h_2) u = \sum_{(h)} u^{-1} S^{-1}(h_1) u h_2.$$

In particular, if we appropriately multiply each expression by  $u$  and  $u^{-1}$ , we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad \epsilon(h) = \sum_{(h)} u h_1 u^{-1} S^{-1}(h_2) = \sum_{(h)} S^{-1}(h_1) u h_2 u^{-1}$$

for all  $h \in H$ .

Now let  $H$  be an arbitrary finite-dimensional Hopf algebra satisfying (2.1), let  $A$  be a  $K$ -algebra with 1 and let  $\theta: H \rightarrow A$  be a  $K$ -algebra homomorphism. Then  $\theta$  and the adjoint action of  $H$  induce an action of  $H$  on  $A$  given by

$$(2.3) \quad h \cdot a = \sum_{(h)} \theta(h_1) a \theta(S(h_2))$$

for all  $h \in H$  and  $a \in A$ . In this way,  $A$  becomes an  $H$ -module algebra and we study the Connes spectrum  $CS(A, H)$  of this action. To start with, let  $\pi: H \rightarrow C$  be an irreducible representation of  $H$  and recall that the action of  $H$  on  $A \otimes C$  is given by

$$h \cdot (a \otimes c) = (h \cdot a) \otimes c = \sum_{(h)} \theta(h_1) a \theta(S(h_2)) \otimes c.$$

Thus, we have

$$(2.4) \quad h \cdot X = \sum_{(h)} [\theta(h_1) \otimes 1] X [\theta(S(h_2)) \otimes 1]$$

for all  $h \in H$  and  $X \in A \otimes C$ .

(2.5) LEMMA: Suppose  $B$  is a hereditary subalgebra of  $A$  and that  $X \in B \otimes C \subseteq A \otimes C$ .

(i)  $X \in B_{\pi}^m$  if and only if

$$\epsilon(h)X = \sum_{(h)} \left[ \theta(S^{-1}(h_4)) \otimes \pi(S^{-1}(h_1)) \right] X \left[ \theta(h_3) \otimes \pi(uh_2u^{-1}) \right]$$

for all  $h \in H$ .

(ii)  $X \in B_{\pi}^l$  if and only if

$$\epsilon(h)X = \sum_{(h)} \left[ \theta(S^{-1}(h_3)) \otimes \pi(S^{-1}(h_1)) \right] X \left[ \theta(h_2) \otimes 1 \right]$$

for all  $h \in H$ .

(iii)  $X \in B_{\pi}^r$  if and only if

$$\epsilon(h)X = \sum_{(h)} \left[ \theta(h_1) \otimes 1 \right] X \left[ \theta(S(h_2)) \otimes \pi(S^{-1}(h_3)) \right]$$

for all  $h \in H$ .

**Proof:**

(i) Equations (1.1) and (2.4) imply that  $X \in B_{\pi}^m$  if and only if

$$\epsilon(h)X = \sum_{(h)} \left[ \theta(h_1) \otimes \pi(h_4) \right] X \left[ \theta(S(h_2)) \otimes \pi(S^{-1}(h_3)) \right]$$

for all  $h \in H$ , and, since  $S^{-1}: H \rightarrow H$  is onto, we can replace  $h$  by  $S^{-1}(h)$  in the above expression. Hence, since

$$\Delta^3(S^{-1}(h)) = \sum_{(h)} S^{-1}(h_4) \otimes S^{-1}(h_3) \otimes S^{-1}(h_2) \otimes S^{-1}(h_1)$$

and  $\epsilon(S^{-1}(h)) = \epsilon(h)$ , we see that  $X \in B_{\pi}^m$  if and only if

$$\epsilon(h)X = \sum_{(h)} \left[ \theta(S^{-1}(h_4)) \otimes \pi(S^{-1}(h_1)) \right] X \left[ \theta(h_3) \otimes \pi(S^{-2}(h_2)) \right].$$

But  $S^{-2}(h) = uhu^{-1}$ , so the result follows.

(ii) Here, equations (1.2) and (2.4) imply that  $X \in B_{\pi}^l$  if and only if

$$\epsilon(h)X = \sum_{(h)} \left[ \theta(h_1) \otimes \pi(h_3) \right] X \left[ \theta(S(h_2)) \otimes 1 \right]$$

for all  $h \in H$ . Again, replace  $h$  by  $S^{-1}(h)$  and use

$$\Delta^2(S^{-1}(h)) = \sum_{(h)} S^{-1}(h_3) \otimes S^{-1}(h_2) \otimes S^{-1}(h_1).$$

Thus, since  $\epsilon(S^{-1}(h)) = \epsilon(h)$ , we see that  $X \in B_\pi^l$  if and only if

$$\epsilon(h)X = \sum_{(h)} \left[ \theta(S^{-1}(h_3)) \otimes \pi(S^{-1}(h_1)) \right] X \left[ \theta(h_2) \otimes 1 \right]$$

as required.

(iii) This follows directly from equations (1.3) and (2.4). ■

Now let us define a map  $D: H \rightarrow A \otimes C$  by

$$(2.6) \quad D(h) = \sum_{(h)} \theta(h_2) \otimes \pi(uh_1u^{-1}).$$

Notice that  $D$  is the composite of the algebra homomorphisms

$$H \xrightarrow{\Delta} H \otimes H \xrightarrow{T} H \otimes H \xrightarrow{1 \otimes u} H \otimes H \xrightarrow{\theta \otimes \pi} A \otimes C$$

where  $T$  is the twist map and  $1 \otimes u: x \otimes y \mapsto x \otimes yu^{-1}$ . Thus  $D$  is also an algebra homomorphism. The following characterizations are a key ingredient in our computation. As in [OPQ], we use an underline to indicate the next expression to be simplified.

(2.7) LEMMA: Let  $B$  be a hereditary subalgebra of  $A$  and let  $X \in B \otimes C$ .

(i)  $X \in B_\pi^m$  if and only if

$$D(h)X = XD(h) \quad \text{for all } h \in H.$$

(ii)  $X \in B_\pi^l$  if and only if

$$D(h)X = X(\theta(h) \otimes 1) \quad \text{for all } h \in H.$$

(iii)  $X \in B_\pi^r$  if and only if

$$XD(h) = (\theta(h) \otimes 1)X \quad \text{for all } h \in H.$$

**Proof:** We show that conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) as given above are equivalent to the corresponding conditions of Lemma 2.5.

(i) If  $X \in B_\pi^m$ , then for any  $h \in H$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(h)X &= \left[ \sum_{(h)} \theta(h_2) \otimes \pi(uh_1u^{-1}) \right] X \\ &= \left[ \sum_{(h)} \theta(h_3) \otimes \pi(uh_1u^{-1}) \right] \underline{\epsilon(h_2)X} \\ &= \sum_{(h)} \left[ \theta(\underline{h_6S^{-1}(h_5)}) \otimes \pi(\underline{uh_1u^{-1}S^{-1}(h_2)}) \right] X \left[ \theta(h_4) \otimes \pi(uh_3u^{-1}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.5(i). Thus (2.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} D(h)X &= \sum_{(h)} \left[ \epsilon(h_4) \otimes \epsilon(h_1) \right] X \left[ \theta(h_3) \otimes \pi(uh_2u^{-1}) \right] \\ &= \sum_{(h)} X \left[ \theta(\underline{h_3\epsilon(h_4)}) \otimes \pi(\underline{u\epsilon(h_1)h_2u^{-1}}) \right] \\ &= \sum_{(h)} X \left[ \theta(h_2) \otimes \pi(uh_1u^{-1}) \right] = XD(h). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose  $D(h)X = XD(h)$  for all  $h \in H$ . Then, since  $D(h_2) = \sum_{(h)} \theta(h_3) \otimes \pi(uh_2u^{-1})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{(h)} \left[ \theta(S^{-1}(h_4)) \otimes \pi(S^{-1}(h_1)) \right] X \left[ \theta(h_3) \otimes \pi(uh_2u^{-1}) \right] \\ &= \sum_{(h)} \left[ \theta(\underline{S^{-1}(h_4)h_3}) \otimes \pi(\underline{S^{-1}(h_1)uh_2u^{-1}}) \right] X \\ &= \sum_{(h)} \left[ \epsilon(h_2) \otimes \epsilon(h_1) \right] X = \epsilon(h)X \end{aligned}$$

where (2.2) is used to simplify the expression  $\sum_{(h)} S^{-1}(h_1)uh_2u^{-1}$ . It therefore follows from Lemma 2.5(i) that  $X \in B_\pi^m$ .

(ii) If  $X \in B_\pi^l$ , then for any  $h \in H$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(h)X &= \left[ \sum_{(h)} \theta(h_2) \otimes \pi(uh_1u^{-1}) \right] X \\ &= \left[ \sum_{(h)} \theta(h_3) \otimes \pi(uh_1u^{-1}) \right] \underline{\epsilon(h_2)X} \\ &= \sum_{(h)} \left[ \theta(\underline{h_5S^{-1}(h_4)}) \otimes \pi(\underline{uh_1u^{-1}S^{-1}(h_2)}) \right] X \left[ \theta(h_3) \otimes 1 \right] \end{aligned}$$



by Lemma 2.5(ii). Thus, equation (2.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} D(h)X &= \sum_{(h)} [\epsilon(h_3) \otimes 1] X [\theta(\epsilon(h_1)h_2) \otimes 1] \\ &= \sum_{(h)} X [\theta(h_1\epsilon(h_2)) \otimes 1] = X(\theta(h) \otimes 1), \end{aligned}$$

as required.

Conversely, suppose that  $D(h)X = X(\theta(h) \otimes 1)$  for all  $h \in H$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(h)} [\theta(S^{-1}(h_3)) \otimes \pi(S^{-1}(h_1))] X [\theta(h_2) \otimes 1] \\ &= \sum_{(h)} [\theta(S^{-1}(h_4)h_3) \otimes \pi(S^{-1}(h_1)uh_2u^{-1})] X \\ &= \sum_{(h)} [\epsilon(h_2) \otimes \epsilon(h_1)] X = \epsilon(h)X \end{aligned}$$

by equation (2.2). Therefore  $X \in B_\pi^l$ , by Lemma 2.5(ii), and part (ii) is proved.

(iii) Finally, if  $X \in B_\pi^r$ , then Lemma 2.5(iii) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} XD(h) &= X \left[ \sum_{(h)} \theta(h_2) \otimes \pi(uh_1u^{-1}) \right] \\ &= \sum_{(h)} \epsilon(h_1)X [\theta(h_3) \otimes \pi(uh_2u^{-1})] \\ &= \sum_{(h)} [\theta(h_1) \otimes 1] X [\theta(S(h_2)h_5) \otimes \pi(S^{-1}(h_3)uh_4u^{-1})] \end{aligned}$$

for all  $h \in H$ . Thus, (2.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} XD(h) &= \sum_{(h)} [\theta(h_1) \otimes 1] X [\theta(S(h_2)\epsilon(h_3)h_4) \otimes 1] \\ &= \sum_{(h)} [\theta(h_1) \otimes 1] X [\theta(S(h_2)h_3) \otimes 1] \\ &= \sum_{(h)} [\theta(h_1\epsilon(h_2)) \otimes 1] X = (\theta(h) \otimes 1)X, \end{aligned}$$

as required.

On the other hand, suppose that  $XD(h) = (\theta(h) \otimes 1)X$  for all  $h \in H$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(h)} \left[ \underbrace{\theta(h_1) \otimes 1}_{(h)} \right] X \left[ \theta(S(h_2)) \otimes \pi(S^{-1}(h_3)) \right] \\ &= \sum_{(h)} X \left[ \theta(\underbrace{h_2 S(h_3)}_{(h)}) \otimes \pi(uh_1u^{-1}S^{-1}(h_4)) \right] \\ &= \sum_{(h)} X \left[ 1 \otimes \pi(uh_1u^{-1}S^{-1}(\underbrace{\epsilon(h_2)h_3}_{(h)})) \right] \\ &= \sum_{(h)} X \left[ 1 \otimes \pi(\underbrace{uh_1u^{-1}S^{-1}(h_2)}_{(h)}) \right] = \epsilon(h)X \end{aligned}$$

by equation (2.2), and therefore  $X \in B_\pi^r$  by Lemma 2.5(iii). ■

Next, we see that the hereditary subalgebras of  $A$  are easily determined in this context.

(2.8) LEMMA: *If  $\theta: H \rightarrow A$  is an epimorphism, then the hereditary subalgebras of  $A$  are precisely its two-sided ideals.*

*Proof:* If  $I$  is a two-sided ideal of  $A$ , then equation (2.3) implies that  $I$  is  $H$ -stable. Thus  $I = IA$  is a hereditary subalgebra of  $A$ .

For the converse, we need two elementary identities which follow from (2.3) and which hold for all  $h \in H$  and  $a \in A$ . First,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(h)} (\underbrace{h_1 \cdot a}_{(h)}) \theta(h_2) &= \sum_{(h)} \theta(h_1) a \theta(\underbrace{S(h_2)h_3}_{(h)}) \\ &= \sum_{(h)} \theta(\underbrace{h_1 \epsilon(h_2)}_{(h)}) a = \theta(h) a \end{aligned}$$

and second,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(h)} \theta(h_2) (\underbrace{S^{-1}(h_1) \cdot a}_{(h)}) &= \sum_{(h)} \theta(\underbrace{h_3 S^{-1}(h_2)}_{(h)}) a \theta(h_1) \\ &= \sum_{(h)} a \theta(\underbrace{h_1 \epsilon(h_2)}_{(h)}) = a \theta(h). \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence of the former, we see that if  $R$  is an  $H$ -stable right ideal of  $A$  and if  $a \in R$ , then  $\theta(h)a \in R$  for all  $h \in H$ . But  $\theta(H) = A$ , by assumption, and therefore  $R$  is a two-sided ideal of  $A$ . Similarly, the latter formula implies that any  $H$ -stable left ideal  $L$  of  $A$  is two sided. Hence, any  $B = RL$  is a two-sided ideal of  $A$ . ■

To proceed further, it is necessary to make some additional assumptions on  $A$  and on  $\pi$  and to introduce some additional notation. Once this is done, Lemma 2.7 can be given a module-theoretic interpretation, leading to a precise understanding of  $A_\pi^m$ ,  $A_\pi^l$  and  $A_\pi^r$ . This, along with Lemma 2.8, will then yield the Connes spectrum.

To start with, let  $V$  be a fixed left  $H$ -module and assume that  $A = \text{End}_K(V)$  and that the homomorphism  $\theta: H \rightarrow A = \text{End}_K(V)$  is determined by the module action. Next, let  $W = W(\pi)$  be the irreducible left  $H$ -module associated with the representation  $\pi$  and suppose that  $K$  is a splitting field for  $\pi$ . By this we mean that  $C = \pi(H) = \text{End}_K(W)$  and in particular that  $C$  is isomorphic to the full ring of  $d_\pi \times d_\pi$  matrices over  $K$  where  $d_\pi = \dim_K W$ .

Since  $W$  is finite dimensional, it follows that

$$A \otimes C = \text{End}_K(V) \otimes \text{End}_K(W) = \text{End}_K(V \otimes W)$$

with appropriate identification. In particular, any homomorphism from  $H$  to  $A \otimes C = \text{End}_K(V \otimes W)$  defines a left  $H$ -module structure on  $V \otimes W$  and there are two such homomorphisms of interest to us. First, we have  $D: H \rightarrow A \otimes C$ , as given in (2.6), and we denote the corresponding  $H$ -module obtained in this way by  $(V \otimes W)_D$ . Next, we have  $E: H \rightarrow A \otimes C$ , given by

$$(2.9) \quad E(h) = \theta(h) \otimes 1 \quad \text{for all } h \in H,$$

and we denote its corresponding left  $H$ -module by  $(V \otimes W)_E$ . In other words,

$$h(v \otimes w)_D = \sum_{(h)} \theta(h_2)v \otimes \pi(uh_1u^{-1})w$$

while  $h(v \otimes w)_E = \theta(h)v \otimes w$ .

(2.10) LEMMA: *With the above notation, we have*

(i)  $(V \otimes W)_D \cong W \otimes V$ , where the latter is the usual tensor module given by

$$h(w \otimes v) = \sum_{(h)} \pi(h_1)w \otimes \theta(h_2)v$$

for all  $h \in H$ ,  $w \in W$  and  $v \in V$ .

(ii)  $(V \otimes W)_E \cong (\dim_K W)V$ , where the latter is the direct sum of  $\dim_K W$  copies of  $V$ .

*Proof:* For part (i), we observe that the map  $W \otimes V \rightarrow (V \otimes W)_D$  given by  $w \otimes v \mapsto v \otimes \pi(u)w$  is an  $H$ -module isomorphism. Part (ii) is obvious from the nature of the action of  $H$  on  $(V \otimes W)_E$ . ■

Note that  $(V \otimes W)_E \cong W_\epsilon \otimes V$  where  $W_\epsilon = W$  as a  $K$ -vector space and where  $hw = \epsilon(h)w$  for all  $h \in H$  and  $w \in W_\epsilon$ . We are now ready to compute the sets  $A_\pi^m$ ,  $A_\pi^l$  and  $A_\pi^r$  corresponding to the hereditary subalgebra  $A \in \mathcal{H}(A, H)$ .

(2.11) LEMMA: *With the above notation, we have*

- (i)  $A_\pi^m = \text{End}_H((V \otimes W)_D)$
- (ii)  $A_\pi^l = \text{Hom}_H((V \otimes W)_E, (V \otimes W)_D)$
- (iii)  $A_\pi^r = \text{Hom}_H((V \otimes W)_D, (V \otimes W)_E)$

where these are all viewed as subspaces of  $A \otimes C = \text{End}_K(V \otimes W)$  and where the endomorphisms act on the left.

*Proof:* This is immediate from Lemma 2.7 and the definition of  $D$ ,  $E$  and the corresponding modules  $(V \otimes W)_D$  and  $(V \otimes W)_E$ . For example, the map  $X: (V \otimes W)_E \rightarrow (V \otimes W)_D$  is an  $H$ -module homomorphism if and only if  $X \in \text{End}_K(V \otimes W) = A \otimes C$  and  $XE(h) = D(h)X$  for all  $h \in H$ . In other words, by Lemma 2.7(ii), this occurs if and only if  $X \in A_\pi^l$ . The arguments for  $A_\pi^m$  and  $A_\pi^r$  are of course similar. ■

As a consequence, we obtain

(2.12) LEMMA: *Suppose, in addition, that  $V$  is an irreducible  $H$ -module and that  $H$  is semisimple. Write  $(V \otimes W)_D = Y \dot{+} Z$ , where  $Y$  is the homogeneous component corresponding to the irreducible module  $V$  and where  $Z$  is the sum of the remaining homogeneous components. Then*

$$A_\pi^m = \text{End}_H((V \otimes W)_D) = \text{End}_H(Y) \dot{+} \text{End}_H(Z)$$

is a ring direct sum and  $A_\pi^l A_\pi^r = \text{End}_H(Y)$ .

*Proof:* Since  $H$  is semisimple,  $(V \otimes W)_D$  does indeed have the structure  $Y \dot{+} Z$  as described above. Furthermore, since  $\text{Hom}_H(Y, Z) = 0$  and  $\text{Hom}_H(Z, Y) = 0$ , it is clear that  $A_\pi^m = \text{End}_H((V \otimes W)_D)$  is the ring direct sum  $\text{End}_H(Y \dot{+} Z) = \text{End}_H(Y) \dot{+} \text{End}_H(Z)$ . Finally, by Lemma 2.11(ii)(iii),  $A_\pi^l A_\pi^r$  is the linear span

of all  $H$ -endomorphisms of  $(V \otimes W)_D$  which factor through  $(V \otimes W)_E$ . But  $(V \otimes W)_E \cong (\dim_K W)V$ , by Lemma 2.10(ii), and we know that  $Y$  is a direct sum of copies of  $V$ , so it is clear that  $A_\pi^l A_\pi^r$  is indeed equal to  $\text{End}_H(Y)$ . ■

It is now a simple matter to prove the main result of this section.

(2.13) THEOREM: *Let  $H$  be a finite-dimensional semisimple Hopf algebra over the field  $K$  and assume that  $K$  is a splitting field for  $H$ . If  $V$  is an irreducible left  $H$ -module and if  $\theta: H \rightarrow A = \text{End}_K(V)$  is its corresponding representation, then  $A$  becomes an  $H$ -module algebra via the action defined by*

$$h \cdot a = \sum_{(h)} \theta(h_1) a \theta(S(h_2)) \quad \text{for all } h \in H, a \in A.$$

*In this situation, the Connes spectrum  $\text{CS}(A, H)$  is the set of all irreducible representations  $\pi$  of  $H$  with*

$$W(\pi) \otimes V \cong d_\pi V$$

*as  $H$ -modules. Here  $W(\pi)$  is the irreducible module associated with  $\pi$  and  $d_\pi = \dim_K W(\pi)$ .*

*Proof:* To start with,  $A$  is an  $H$ -module algebra with action satisfying (2.3). Furthermore, since  $H$  is semisimple and  $K$  is a splitting field of  $H$ , [L, Theorem 3.3] implies that  $S^2$  is an inner automorphism of  $H$  and hence (2.1) holds. In other words, all the hypotheses of this section are satisfied. In particular, since  $\theta: H \rightarrow A$  is onto and since  $A$  is a simple ring, it follows from Lemma 2.8 that the only hereditary subalgebras of  $A$  are  $A$  itself and  $0$ . Hence only  $B = A$  need be considered when computing  $\text{CS}(A, H)$ .

Let  $\pi \in \text{Irr}(H)$  and set  $W = W(\pi)$ . Since  $K$  is a splitting field for  $\pi$ , the previous lemma clearly implies that  $A_\pi^l A_\pi^r \text{reg } A_\pi^m$  if and only if  $(V \otimes W)_D = Y$  and hence if and only if  $(V \otimes W)_D \cong dV$ , a direct sum of  $d$  copies of  $V$  for some integer  $d$ . But  $(V \otimes W)_D \cong W \otimes V$ , by Lemma 2.10(i), so degree considerations imply that the isomorphism  $(V \otimes W)_D \cong dV$  holds if and only if  $W \otimes V \cong d_\pi V$  with  $d_\pi = \dim_K W$ . Thus,  $\pi \in \text{CS}(A, H)$  if and only if  $W(\pi) \otimes V \cong d_\pi V$ . ■

In the context of the preceding theorem, we will frequently write  $\text{CS}(V)$  or  $\text{CS}(\theta)$  for the Connes spectrum  $\text{CS}(A, H)$ .

**3. Examples**

Again, we assume throughout this section that  $H$  is a finite-dimensional semisimple Hopf algebra over  $K$  and that  $K$  is a splitting field for  $H$ . Our goal here is to look at specific examples related to Theorem 2.13. Recall that if  $\theta: H \rightarrow \text{End}_K(V)$  is a representation of  $H$  and if  $V^* = \text{Hom}_K(V, K)$  is the dual of  $V$ , then the contragredient representation  $\theta^*: H \rightarrow \text{End}_K(V^*)$  is defined by

$$(h\lambda)(v) = \lambda(S(h)v) \quad \text{for all } h \in H, \lambda \in V^* \text{ and } v \in V.$$

The following result is standard and quite elementary to prove. Note that a linear representation is a representation corresponding to an  $H$ -module of dimension 1.

(3.1) LEMMA: *Let  $H$  be a finite-dimensional semisimple Hopf algebra.*

- (i) *If  $\theta: H \rightarrow \text{End}_K(V)$  is an irreducible representation of  $H$ , then so is  $\theta^*: H \rightarrow \text{End}_K(V^*)$ . Furthermore, the map  $V^* \otimes V \rightarrow K$  given by*

$$\lambda \otimes v \mapsto \lambda(v) \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in V^*, v \in V$$

*is an  $H$ -module epimorphism onto  $K = W(\epsilon)$ .*

- (ii) *The set of linear representations of  $H$  forms a group under  $\otimes$ . The identity element is the counit  $\epsilon$  and the inverse of the representation  $\pi$  is its contragredient  $\pi^*$ .*

As a consequence, we have

(3.2) PROPOSITION: *Suppose  $\theta: H \rightarrow \text{End}_K(V)$  is an irreducible representation of  $H$ .*

- (i) *If  $\theta \neq \epsilon$ , then  $\theta^* \notin \text{CS}(\theta)$ .*
- (ii) *If  $\theta$  is linear, then  $\text{CS}(\theta) = \{ \epsilon \}$ .*

*Proof:*

- (i) By Lemma 3.1(i),  $V^* \otimes V$  has an irreducible constituent isomorphic to  $W(\epsilon)$ . Thus, since  $V \not\cong W(\epsilon)$ , Theorem 2.13 implies that  $\theta^*$  is not contained in  $\text{CS}(\theta)$ .
- (ii) By Theorem 2.13,  $\pi \in \text{CS}(\theta)$  if and only if  $d_\pi W(\theta) \cong W(\pi) \otimes W(\theta)$ . Indeed, since  $\theta$  is linear, Lemma 3.1(ii) implies that this occurs if and only if

$$d_\pi W(\theta) \otimes W(\theta^*) \cong W(\pi) \otimes W(\theta) \otimes W(\theta^*)$$

or equivalently

$$d_\pi W(\epsilon) \cong W(\pi) \otimes W(\epsilon) \cong W(\pi).$$

In other words, we must have  $\pi = \epsilon$ . ■

In particular, if  $H$  is commutative, then all Connes spectra constructed in this manner just consist of the irreducible representation  $\epsilon$ . This of course applies when  $H = K[G]^*$  is the dual of a group algebra and also, by the result of [Ho], when  $H = u(L)$  is a restricted enveloping algebra

Now let us assume that  $H = K[G]$  is a group algebra. Here it is convenient to translate the results into the language of group characters. If  $\pi: K[G] \rightarrow M_{d_\pi}(K)$  is any representation of  $K[G]$ , let  $\hat{\pi}: G \rightarrow K$  be its associated character. In other words,  $\hat{\pi}(g) = \text{tr } \pi(g)$  for all  $g \in G$ , where  $\text{tr}: M_{d_\pi}(K) \rightarrow K$  is the usual matrix trace. Since  $K[G]$  is semisimple and  $K$  is a splitting field, it is known that the character  $\hat{\pi}$  uniquely determines the representation  $\pi$ . Furthermore, we know that the character of the tensor product  $\theta \otimes \pi$  is just the product  $\hat{\theta}\hat{\pi}$ . If  $\pi$  is irreducible, then the kernel of  $\hat{\pi}$  is defined by

$$\ker(\hat{\pi}) = \{ g \in G \mid \hat{\pi}(g) = \hat{\pi}(1) = d_\pi \}.$$

It can be shown that  $\ker(\hat{\pi})$  is the normal subgroup of  $G$  described by

$$\ker(\hat{\pi}) = \{ g \in G \mid \pi(g) = \pi(1) \}$$

and, in particular, if  $\pi \neq \epsilon$ , then  $\ker(\hat{\pi}) \neq G$ .

(3.3) PROPOSITION: *If  $H = K[G]$  and  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(H)$ , then*

$$\text{CS}(\theta) = \{ \pi \in \text{Irr}(H) \mid \hat{\theta}(g) = 0 \text{ for all } g \in G \setminus \ker(\hat{\pi}) \}.$$

*In other words,  $\pi \in \text{CS}(\theta)$  if and only if  $\hat{\theta}$  vanishes off  $\ker(\hat{\pi})$ .*

*Proof:* By Theorem 2.13,  $\pi \in \text{CS}(\theta)$  if and only if  $W(\pi) \otimes W(\theta) \cong d_\pi W(\theta)$ . In terms of characters, this isomorphism occurs if and only if

$$\hat{\pi}(g)\hat{\theta}(g) = \hat{\pi}(1)\hat{\theta}(g) \text{ for all } g \in G$$

and the result follows immediately. ■

We can now easily list a number of examples of interest. For this, we assume that the reader is reasonably familiar with group theory and character theory. Note that  $G$  is said to be an extraspecial  $p$ -group if  $G' = \mathbb{Z}(G)$  has prime order  $p$ . Note also that part (v) below generalizes (i), but while part (i) is obvious, the proof of (v) requires that we quote a major theorem.

(3.4) *Example:* Let  $H = K[G]$  and let  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(H)$ .

- (i) If  $G$  is a simple group, then  $\text{CS}(\theta) = \{\epsilon\}$  for all  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(H)$ .
- (ii) If  $G$  is an extraspecial  $p$ -group and if  $\theta$  is a nonlinear irreducible representation of  $K[G]$ , then  $\text{CS}(\theta)$  consists of all the linear representations of  $K[G]$ .
- (iii) Suppose  $G$  has a unique nontrivial normal subgroup  $W$  and that  $G/W$  is cyclic of prime order  $p$ . If  $\Omega$  denotes the set of linear representations  $\omega$  of  $K[G]$  with  $\ker(\hat{\omega}) \supseteq W$ , then  $|\Omega| = p$  and

$$\text{CS}(\theta) = \begin{cases} \Omega & \text{if } \theta \text{ restricted to } W \text{ is reducible, or} \\ \{\epsilon\} & \text{if } \theta \text{ restricted to } W \text{ is irreducible.} \end{cases}$$

- (iv)  $\text{CS}(\theta)$  can contain representations of arbitrary degree.
- (v) If  $G/\mathbb{Z}(G)$  is simple, then  $\text{CS}(\theta) = \{\epsilon\}$  for all  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(H)$ .

*Proof:* Let  $\pi$  and  $\theta$  be irreducible representations of  $K[G]$ . We consider whether  $\pi \in \text{CS}(\theta)$ . First, by Proposition 3.3, we know that  $\epsilon \in \text{CS}(\theta)$  since  $\ker(\hat{\epsilon}) = G$ . Now, set  $N = \ker(\hat{\pi}) \triangleleft G$  and note that  $N \neq G$  if  $\pi \neq \epsilon$ . Furthermore, if  $G \neq 1$  and  $N = 1$ , then  $\pi \notin \text{CS}(\theta)$  since only multiples of the regular character can vanish off  $N = 1$ .

- (i) The result is trivial for  $G = 1$  and follows from the above comments for  $G \neq 1$  since there are only two possibilities for  $N$ .
- (ii) Here we know that  $|G : \mathbb{Z}(G)| = p^{2n}$  for some integer  $n \geq 1$ , that  $\theta(1) = p^n$  and that  $\theta(g) = 0$  if and only if  $g \notin \mathbb{Z}(G)$ . Thus  $\pi \in \text{CS}(\theta)$  if and only if  $\ker(\hat{\pi}) \supseteq \mathbb{Z}(G) = G'$  and hence if and only if  $\pi$  is linear.
- (iii) In view of the comments of the first paragraph, we can assume that  $N \neq 1$  and hence, by assumption, that  $N \supseteq W$ . In other words,  $\pi \in \Omega$  and note that  $\ker(\hat{\pi}) = W$  for all such  $\pi \neq \epsilon$ . From this we conclude that

$$\text{CS}(\theta) = \begin{cases} \Omega & \text{if } \hat{\theta} \text{ vanishes off } W, \text{ or} \\ \{\epsilon\} & \text{if } \hat{\theta} \text{ does not vanish off } W. \end{cases}$$

Finally, if  $\hat{\theta}$  vanishes off  $W$ , then the character inner product satisfies

$$[\hat{\theta}_W, \hat{\theta}_W]_W = |G/W| [\hat{\theta}, \hat{\theta}]_G = p > 1$$

and therefore  $\theta_W$ , the restriction of  $\theta$  to  $W$ , is reducible. On the other hand, if  $\theta_W$  is assumed to be reducible, then since  $G/W$  is cyclic of prime



order  $p$ , [I, Corollary 6.19] implies that  $\theta_W = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \dots + \phi_p$  is a sum of  $p$  conjugate irreducible representations of  $K[W]$ . It then follows from Frobenius reciprocity that  $\theta$  is a constituent of the induced representation  $\phi_1^G$  and, by degree considerations, we have  $\theta = \phi_1^G$ . Thus  $\hat{\theta}$  vanishes off  $W$  and this part is proved.

(iv) Let  $C$  be cyclic of prime order  $p$ , let  $W$  be an arbitrary finite group and set  $G = C \wr W$ , the wreath product of  $C$  by  $W$ . Choose  $K$  to be an algebraically closed field with  $K[G]$  semisimple. Now  $G$  is the semidirect product of  $A$  by  $W$ , where  $A$  is the direct product of  $w = |W|$  copies of  $C$  and where  $W$  acts on  $A$  by regularly permuting these factors. Say  $A = \prod_1^w C_i$  and let  $\lambda$  be an irreducible representation of  $K[A]$  with  $\ker(\hat{\lambda}) = \prod_2^w C_i$ . Then all  $W$ -conjugates of  $\lambda$  are distinct and hence the induced representation  $\theta = \lambda^G$  of  $K[G]$  is irreducible. Furthermore,  $\hat{\theta}$  vanishes off  $A$ , so it follows that  $\text{CS}(\theta)$  contains any irreducible representation  $\pi$  with  $\ker(\hat{\pi}) \supseteq A$ . But  $G/A \cong W$ , so any irreducible representation of  $K[W]$ , lifted to  $K[G]$ , is contained in  $\text{CS}(\theta)$ . Since  $W$  is arbitrary, we can find representations of arbitrary degree in suitable Connes spectra.

(v) Suppose  $\pi \in \text{CS}(\theta)$ . Then  $\hat{\theta}$  vanishes off  $N$  and, since  $\hat{\theta}$  cannot vanish on any element of  $\mathbb{Z}(G)$ , it follows that  $N \supseteq \mathbb{Z}(G)$ . Thus, there are only two possibilities for  $N$ . If  $N = \mathbb{Z}(G)$ , then  $\hat{\theta}$  vanishes off  $\mathbb{Z}(G)$  and, by definition, this makes  $\bar{G} = G/\mathbb{Z}(G)$  a group of central type. But central type groups are known to be solvable, by [HI], so this case cannot occur. Thus  $N = G$  and  $\pi = \epsilon$ . ■

Observe that (iii) above applies to the symmetric groups  $G = \text{Sym}_n$  with  $n \geq 5$  and that (v) applies to the special linear groups  $G = \text{SL}_n(q)$  with  $n \geq 2$  and with  $q$  a prime power. Of course,  $q \geq 4$  when  $n = 2$ .

Again, suppose  $H$  is a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra over the field  $K$  and let  $A$  be an  $H$ -module algebra. If the action of  $H$  on  $A$  is purely inner, determined as in (2.3) by the homomorphism  $\theta: H \rightarrow A$ , then [BCM, Theorem 5.3] implies that the map  $\tilde{\cdot}: H \rightarrow A \# H$  given by

$$h \mapsto \sum_{(h)} \theta(S(h_1))h_2 \quad \text{for all } h \in H$$

determines an algebra isomorphism between  $H$  and  $\tilde{H} \subseteq C_{A \# H}(A)$ . Furthermore, it then follows that the smash product  $A \# H$  is equal to the tensor product

$A \otimes \tilde{H}$ . In particular,  $A \# H$  is never prime when  $\dim_K H > 1$ . This is, of course, consistent with [OPQ, Theorem 1.6] and Proposition 3.2.

As will be apparent, more complicated smash products also exist in the context of inner actions, provided we allow  $\theta$  to be a projective homomorphism. We will treat this topic quickly and in an elementary manner, without reverting to the study of twisted Hopf algebras.

To this end, suppose  $H$  and  $\bar{H}$  are finite-dimensional semisimple Hopf algebras over the field  $K$  and let  $\bar{\cdot} : H \rightarrow \bar{H}$  be a Hopf algebra epimorphism. If  $I$  is the kernel of  $\bar{\cdot}$ , then it is clear that  $\epsilon(I) = 0$ . Furthermore,  $\bar{\cdot}$  determines a one-to-one correspondence between the irreducible representations of  $\bar{H}$  and those irreducible representations of  $H$  with kernel containing  $I$ . Thus, with suitable identification, we can view  $\text{Irr}(\bar{H})$  as a subset of  $\text{Irr}(H)$ . Now let  $A$  be an arbitrary  $H$ -module algebra and assume that  $I$  acts trivially on  $A$  so that  $I \cdot A = 0$ . We can then let  $\bar{H}$  act on  $A$  via

$$(3.5) \quad (h + I) \cdot a = h \cdot a \quad \text{for all } h \in H, a \in A$$

and, in this way,  $A$  becomes an  $\bar{H}$ -module algebra.

(3.6) LEMMA: *With the above notation,*

$$\text{CS}(A, \bar{H}) = \text{CS}(A, H) \cap \text{Irr}(\bar{H}).$$

*Proof:* Since  $\bar{\cdot} : H \rightarrow \bar{H}$  is an epimorphism, it is clear that  $H$  and  $\bar{H}$  have the same image in  $\text{End}_K(A)$  and therefore  $\mathcal{H}(A, H) = \mathcal{H}(A, \bar{H})$ . Next, if  $\pi \in \text{Irr}(\bar{H}) \subseteq \text{Irr}(H)$ , then it is clear that  $C = \pi(H) = \pi(\bar{H})$ . In other words, we check whether  $\pi$  is contained in  $\text{CS}(A, H)$  or in  $\text{CS}(A, \bar{H})$  by considering appropriate subsets of the same algebras  $B \otimes C$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{H}(A, H) = \mathcal{H}(A, \bar{H})$ . But a close look at equations (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) and (3.5) shows that  $B_\pi^m, B_\pi^l$  and  $B_\pi^r$  are the same whether we view  $H$  or  $\bar{H}$  as acting on  $B \otimes C$ . Thus  $\pi \in \text{CS}(A, H)$  if and only if  $\pi \in \text{CS}(A, \bar{H})$ . ■

With this, we can answer in the negative a question posed by J. Bergen and D. Haile. Again, we assume that the reader has a reasonable knowledge of finite group theory and character theory.

(3.7) Example:  $\text{CS}(A, H) = \{ \epsilon \}$  need not imply that  $A \# H$  is ring isomorphic to  $A \otimes H$ .

*Proof:* If  $G = \text{SL}_2(5)$ , then  $\mathbb{Z}(G) = \{1, z\}$  has order 2 and  $G/\mathbb{Z}(G)$  is the simple group  $\bar{G} = \text{PSL}_2(5) \cong \text{Alt}_5$ . Let  $K$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and set  $H = K[G]$  and  $\bar{H} = K[\bar{G}]$ . Then the natural map  $\bar{\cdot} : H \rightarrow \bar{H}$  obtained from  $G \rightarrow \bar{G}$  is certainly a Hopf algebra epimorphism. Note that the kernel of  $\bar{\cdot}$  is the ideal  $I = (1 - z)K[G]$ .

Now  $H = K[G]$  has an irreducible representation  $\theta$  of degree 2 and thus, using (2.3), we can let  $A = M_2(K)$  be an  $H$ -module algebra. Next, since  $\theta(z) \in K$ , it follows that  $1 - z$  acts trivially on  $A$  and hence that  $I$  acts trivially on  $A$ . Thus, equation (3.5) implies that  $A$  becomes an  $\bar{H}$ -module algebra via

$$(h + I) \cdot a = h \cdot a = \sum_{(h)} \theta(h_1) a \theta(S(h_2)) \quad \text{for all } h \in H, a \in A.$$

Since  $\text{CS}(A, H) = \{\epsilon\}$ , by Example 3.4(v), we conclude from Lemma 3.6 that  $\text{CS}(A, \bar{H}) = \{\epsilon\}$ . Our goal is to show that  $A \# \bar{H}$  is not ring isomorphic to  $A \otimes \bar{H}$ .

Since  $\bar{G}$  acts in an inner fashion of  $A$ , we can study the smash product  $A \# \bar{H} = A \# K[\bar{G}]$  using standard techniques (see for example [P, Proposition 12.4 and Lemma 27.5]). Specifically, for each  $x \in \bar{G}$ , let  $u_x$  be a unit of  $A$  such that  $\tilde{x} = u_x x$  centralizes  $A$ . Then we know that  $E = \mathbb{C}_{A \# \bar{H}}(A)$  is equal to the twisted group algebra  $K^t[\bar{G}]$  with group basis  $\{\tilde{x} \mid x \in \bar{G}\}$ . Furthermore,  $A \# \bar{H} = A \otimes E$  and, if  $T: A \rightarrow A$  denotes the matrix transpose, then the map  $\rho: K^t[\bar{G}] \rightarrow A$  given by

$$\rho: \sum_{x \in \bar{G}} k_x \tilde{x} \mapsto \left( \sum_{x \in \bar{G}} k_x u_x \right)^T$$

is an algebra homomorphism. Indeed, since  $\theta: K[G] \rightarrow A$  is an epimorphism, it follows that  $\{u_x \mid x \in \bar{G}\}$  spans  $A$  and hence that  $\rho$  is an epimorphism.

Now  $E = K^t[\bar{G}]$  is semisimple and hence  $E \cong \bigoplus_i M_{e_i}(K)$ , a direct sum of suitable full matrix rings over  $K$ . Thus, since  $A = M_2(K)$ , it follows that

$$A \# \bar{H} = A \otimes E \cong \bigoplus_i M_{2e_i}(K)$$

and, in particular,  $A \# \bar{H}$  is semisimple. Suppose  $A \# \bar{H}$  has a ring direct summand isomorphic to  $M_2(K)$ . Then the uniqueness aspect of the Artin-Wedderburn Theorem implies that  $e_i = 1$  for some  $i$  and hence  $K^t[\bar{G}]$  has a linear representation  $\lambda$ . As is well known, this implies that  $K^t[\bar{G}] \cong K[\bar{G}]$ . Indeed, the proof of the latter isomorphism simply requires that we replace each  $\tilde{x}$  as above by the

unique element of  $K\tilde{x}$  which maps to 1 under  $\lambda$ . It therefore follows that  $\rho$  gives rise to an algebra epimorphism from  $K[\bar{G}]$  to  $M_2(K)$  and hence  $K[\bar{G}]$  must have an irreducible representation of degree 2. But this is a contradiction, since  $K[\bar{G}] \cong K[\text{Alt}_5]$  has irreducible representations of degree 1,3,3,4,5.

Thus we have shown that  $A\# \bar{H}$  does not have a ring direct summand isomorphic to  $M_2(K)$ . On the other hand,  $A \otimes \bar{H} = A \otimes K[\bar{G}]$  does have such a ring direct summand, since  $K[\bar{G}]$  has the linear representation  $\epsilon$ . In other words,  $A\# \bar{H}$  is not ring isomorphic to  $A \otimes \bar{H}$  and the result follows. ■

Of course, Example 3.4 supplies numerous situations where  $A\# H \cong A \otimes H$  does not imply that  $\text{CS}(A, H) = \{ \epsilon \}$ .

**4. Outer Actions**

We now move on to consider outer actions. Since there are a number of different, presumably inequivalent, definitions for this concept, we choose one which allows us to quickly compute the relevant Connes spectra. Thus suppose  $H$  is a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra over the field  $K$  and let  $A$  be an  $H$ -module algebra. As is well known,  $A$  is a left  $A\# H$ -module with action defined by

$$ah \bullet b = a(h \cdot b) \quad \text{for all } a, b \in A, h \in H$$

and  $A$  is a right  $A\# H$ -module with

$$b \bullet ha = (S^{-1}(h) \cdot b)a \quad \text{for all } a, b \in A, h \in H.$$

Furthermore,  $H$  is said to be trace outer on  $A$  if

$$(4.1) \quad (\alpha \bullet A)b \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad b(A \bullet \alpha) \neq 0$$

for all  $0 \neq \alpha \in A\# H$  and  $0 \neq b \in A$ .

The latter definition can be viewed in a more concrete manner as follows. Let  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  be a basis for  $H$ , let  $0 \neq b \in A$  and let  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n$  be elements of  $A$  which are not all zero. Then  $\alpha = \sum_i c_i x_i$  is a typical nonzero element of  $A\# H$  and  $\alpha \bullet a = \sum_i c_i (x_i \cdot a)$ . Similarly, using [OPQ, Lemma 1.4(i)],  $\alpha' = \sum_i S(x_i) c_i$  is a typical nonzero element of  $A\# H$  and  $a \bullet \alpha' = \sum_i (x_i \cdot a) c_i$ . Thus, if we define the trace forms  $\tau, \tau': A \rightarrow A$  by

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau(a) &= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i (x_i \cdot a) b \\ \tau'(a) &= \sum_{i=1}^n b (x_i \cdot a) c_i \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a \in A$ , then  $H$  is trace outer on  $A$  if and only if

$$(4.3) \quad \tau(A) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau'(A) \neq 0 \quad \text{for all such } \tau, \tau'.$$

Notice, for example, that if  $H = K[G]$  is a group algebra,  $A$  is a prime ring and  $G$  is  $X$ -outer on  $A$ , then [P, Lemma 29.5(i)] implies that  $H$  is trace outer on  $A$ . Furthermore, if  $H = K[G]^*$  is the dual of a group algebra, then  $A = \sum_{g \in G} A_g$  is a  $G$ -graded ring and  $H$  is trace outer on  $A$  if and only if  $aA_gb \neq 0$  for all  $0 \neq a, b \in A$  and  $g \in G$ .

In the remainder of this section we assume that  $H$  is semisimple and we use  $e$  to denote the principal idempotent (integral) of  $H$ . Let  $B$  be a hereditary subalgebra of  $A$ , let  $\pi \in \text{Irr}(H)$  and set  $C = \pi(H)$ . Then we recall that  $H$  acts on  $B \otimes_K C$  via the formula  $h \cdot (b \otimes c) = (h \cdot b) \otimes c$  for all  $b \in B, c \in C$  and  $h \in H$ .

(4.4) LEMMA: If  $X \in B \otimes C$ , then

(i)

$$\sum_{(e)} [1 \otimes \pi(e_2)](e_1 \cdot X) \in B_\pi^l$$

(ii)

$$\sum_{(e)} (e_1 \cdot X) [1 \otimes \pi(S^{-1}(e_2))] \in B_\pi^r.$$

*Proof:*

(i) Note that  $B \otimes C$  becomes a left  $H$ -module when we define

$$hX = \sum_{(h)} [1 \otimes \pi(h_2)](h_1 \cdot X) \quad \text{for all } h \in H, X \in B \otimes C.$$

Furthermore, in view of equation (1.2),  $B_\pi^l$  is the set of  $H$ -invariants of this module. Thus  $eX \in B_\pi^l$  for all  $X \in B \otimes C$ .

(ii) Similarly,  $B \otimes C$  is a left  $H$ -module under the action

$$hX = \sum_{(h)} (h_1 \cdot X) [1 \otimes \pi(S^{-1}(h_2))] \quad \text{for all } h \in H, X \in B \otimes C.$$

Moreover, in this case,  $B_\pi^r$  is the set of  $H$ -invariants by (1.3). Thus  $eX \in B_\pi^r$  for all  $X \in B \otimes C$  and the result follows. ■

(4.5) LEMMA: Write  $\Delta(e) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i$  where  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  is a basis for  $H$ . Then the subsets of  $A \otimes C$  given by

- (i)  $\{1 \otimes \pi(y_1), 1 \otimes \pi(y_2), \dots, 1 \otimes \pi(y_n)\}$ , and
- (ii)  $\{1 \otimes \pi(S^{-1}(y_1)), 1 \otimes \pi(S^{-1}(y_2)), \dots, 1 \otimes \pi(S^{-1}(y_n))\}$

are regular in  $A \otimes C$ , that is they annihilate no nonzero element of this algebra.

Proof: Since  $\epsilon(e) = 1$ , the identities for  $\sum_{(e)} S(e_1)e_2$  and  $\sum_{(e)} e_2S^{-1}(e_1)$  yield

$$\sum_i S(x_i)y_i = 1 = \sum_i y_iS^{-1}(x_i).$$

Thus, since  $1 \otimes \pi(1) = 1 \otimes 1$  annihilates no nonzero element of  $A \otimes C$ , the same is true of the set  $\{1 \otimes \pi(y_1), 1 \otimes \pi(y_2), \dots, 1 \otimes \pi(y_n)\}$ . This proves (i) and, by applying  $S^{-1}$  to the above formulas, we obtain

$$\sum_i S^{-1}(y_i)x_i = 1 = \sum_i S^{-2}(x_i)S^{-1}(y_i)$$

and (ii) follows. ■

Notice that any reasonable definition for an outer action should imply that  $A\#H$  is prime and hence, under suitable hypotheses, that  $CS(A, H) = \text{Irr}(H)$  by [OPQ, Theorem 1.6]. Thus the next result comes as no surprise.

(4.6) THEOREM: Let  $H$  be a finite-dimensional strongly semiprime Hopf algebra over the field  $K$  and let  $A$  be an  $H$ -module algebra. Suppose that  $A$  is  $H$ -semiprime and that the action of  $H$  on  $A$  is trace outer. Then  $B_\pi^l$  and  $B_\pi^r$  are regular in  $B \otimes \pi(H)$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{H}(A, H)$  and  $\pi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ . In particular,  $CS(A, H) = \text{Irr}(H)$ .

Proof: Let  $B \in \mathcal{H}(A, H)$  and  $\pi \in \text{Irr}(H)$  be given and set  $C = \pi(H)$ . We must show that  $B_\pi^l$  and  $B_\pi^r$  are regular in  $B \otimes C$  and, for this, there are two left annihilators and two right annihilators which must be checked. Since the four arguments are essentially the same, we will prove in detail that  $\text{r.ann}_{B \otimes C} B_\pi^l = 0$  and then just briefly comment on the remaining cases. To start with, let  $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_m\}$  be a  $K$ -basis for  $C$ . Then we know that every element of  $B \otimes C$  is uniquely a sum of the form  $\sum_i b_i \otimes \gamma_i$  with  $b_i \in B$  and we call  $b_i$  the coefficient of  $\gamma_i$ .

Now let  $Z \in \text{r.ann}_{B \otimes C} B_\pi^l$  and assume by way of contradiction that  $Z \neq 0$ . If  $\Delta(e) = \sum_1^n x_i \otimes y_i$  is written as in the preceding lemma, then Lemma 4.5(i)

implies that  $(1 \otimes \pi(y_j))Z \neq 0$  for some  $j$ , say  $j = 1$ . In particular, if we write  $(1 \otimes \pi(y_1))Z \in \sum_i B \otimes \gamma_i$  in terms of the basis for  $C$ , then one of the  $\gamma_k$  coefficients is not zero. We can suppose that this occurs for  $\gamma_1$  and that the coefficient is  $0 \neq d \in B$ . Now Lemma 1.6(i) implies that  $B^H d \neq 0$  and hence we can choose  $b \in B^H$  with  $bd \neq 0$ . Note that if  $B = RL$  describes  $B$  as a product of a right and left ideal of  $A$ , then  $BAB = R(LAR)L \subseteq RAL = B$  and therefore  $bAb \subseteq B$ .

Let  $a \in A$  be arbitrary and set  $X = bab \otimes 1 \in B \otimes C$  in Lemma 4.4(i). Then, since  $b \in B^H$ , it follows that  $B_\pi^l$  contains the element

$$\sum_i \left[ 1 \otimes \pi(y_i) \right] \left[ (x_i \cdot (bab)) \otimes 1 \right] = \sum_i b(x_i \cdot a)b \otimes \pi(y_i).$$

But  $B_\pi^l Z = 0$ , so this yields

$$0 = \sum_i \left[ b(x_i \cdot a)b \otimes 1 \right] \left[ 1 \otimes \pi(y_i) \right] Z$$

and, in particular, if  $d_i \in B$  denotes the  $\gamma_1$  coefficient of  $(1 \otimes \pi(y_i))Z$ , then

$$0 = \sum_i b(x_i \cdot a)bd_i.$$

Of course, the above holds for all  $a \in A$  and hence corresponds to the vanishing of a trace form. Furthermore, we know that  $b \neq 0$ , that  $bd_1 = bd \neq 0$  and that  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  is a basis for  $H$ . Thus the above trace form is nontrivial and this contradicts the fact that the action of  $H$  on  $A$  is trace outer. In other words, we must have  $Z = 0$  and hence  $\text{r.ann}_{B \otimes C} B_\pi^l = 0$ . In a similar manner, we can show that  $\text{l.ann}_{B \otimes C} B_\pi^l = 0$ .

Finally, the proof that  $B_\pi^r$  is regular in  $B \otimes C$  follows the same outline. Of course, here we must use parts (ii), rather than parts (i), of Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5. With these results in hand, we conclude that  $B_\pi^l B_\pi^r \text{ reg } B \otimes C$  and hence that  $B_\pi^l B_\pi^r \text{ reg } B_\pi^m$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{H}(A, H)$ . In particular,  $\pi \in \text{CS}(A, H)$  for all  $\pi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ . ■

### 5. Cocommutative Algebras

In this final section, we consider certain special properties of the Connes spectrum machinery which arise when  $H$  is cocommutative. As usual, let  $H$  be a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra over the field  $K$  and let  $A$  be an  $H$ -module algebra with 1. Fix  $\pi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ , set  $C = \pi(H)$  and recall that  $H$  acts on  $A \otimes C$  via

the formula  $h \cdot (a \otimes c) = (h \cdot a) \otimes c$  for all  $h \in H$ ,  $a \in A$  and  $c \in C$ . We start with a general observation.

(5.1) LEMMA: If  $B \in \mathcal{H}(A, H)$ , then  $B_\pi^l$  is a right  $B^H \otimes C$ -module and  $B_\pi^r$  is a left  $B^H \otimes C$ -module. Furthermore,  $B_\pi^r B_\pi^l$  is a two-sided ideal of  $B^H \otimes C$ .

Proof: Since  $(B \otimes C)^H = B^H \otimes C$ , the module properties follow immediately from equations (1.2) and (1.3). Now let  $X \in B_\pi^r$  and  $Y \in B_\pi^l$ . Then for all  $h \in H$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} h \cdot XY &= \sum_{(h)} (h_1 \cdot X)(h_2 \cdot Y) \\ &= \sum_{(h)} (h_1 \cdot X) \epsilon(h_3)(h_2 \cdot Y) \\ &= \sum_{(h)} (h_1 \cdot X) \left[ 1 \otimes \pi(S^{-1}(h_4)) \right] \left[ 1 \otimes \pi(h_3) \right] (h_2 \cdot Y) \\ &= \sum_{(h)} (\underbrace{h_1 \epsilon(h_2)} \cdot X) \left[ 1 \otimes \pi(S^{-1}(h_3)) \right] Y \\ &= \sum_{(h)} \underbrace{(h_1 \cdot X) \left[ 1 \otimes \pi(S^{-1}(h_2)) \right]} Y = \epsilon(h)XY \end{aligned}$$

by (1.2) and (1.3). Thus  $XY \in (B \otimes C)^H = B^H \otimes C$ . In other words,  $B_\pi^r B_\pi^l \subseteq B^H \otimes C$  and the module properties yield the result. ■

For the sake of simplicity, we will assume throughout the remainder of this section that  $H$  is cocommutative. This implies, among other things, that the antipode  $S$  of  $H$  is equal to its own inverse. Following [OPQ] and motivated by equation (1.1), we define the  $*$  action of  $H$  on  $A \otimes C$  by

$$(5.2) \quad h * X = \sum_{(h)} \left[ 1 \otimes \pi(h_3) \right] (h_1 \cdot X) \left[ 1 \otimes \pi(S^{-1}(h_2)) \right]$$

for all  $h \in H$  and  $X \in A \otimes C$ . We will frequently write  $*H$  for  $H$  to indicate that the action of  $H$  is given as in (5.2). Thus for example

(5.3) LEMMA: Let  $H$  and  $A$  be as above.

- (i)  $A \otimes C$  is a  $*H$ -module algebra.
- (ii) If  $B \in \mathcal{H}(A, H)$ , then  $B_\pi^m = (B \otimes C)^{*H}$ .
- (iii) If  $I$  is a  $C$ -submodule of  $A \otimes C$ , then  $I$  is  $H$ -stable if and only if it is  $*H$ -stable.



*Proof:*

(i) If  $X = a \otimes c \in A \otimes C$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} h * X &= (h_1 \cdot a) \otimes [\pi(h_3)c\pi(S^{-1}(h_2))] \\ &= (h_1 \cdot a) \otimes [\pi(h_2)c\pi(S(h_3))] \end{aligned}$$

by cocommutativity. Thus  $*$  is the tensor action determined by  $\cdot$  on  $A$  and by the adjoint composed with  $\pi$  on  $C$ . Since both  $A$  and  $C$  are  $H$ -module algebras under these actions, we use cocommutativity again to conclude that  $A \otimes C$  is a  $H$ -module algebra under  $*$ . In other words,  $A \otimes C$  is a  $*H$ -module algebra. This proves (i) and then part (ii) is immediate from equation (1.1).

(iii) Let  $h \in H$  and  $X \in A \otimes C$ . Then cocommutativity yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{(h)} [1 \otimes \pi(S(h_3))] (\underbrace{h_1 * X}) [1 \otimes \pi(h_2)] \\ &= \sum_{(h)} [1 \otimes \pi(S(h_5)h_3)] (h_1 \cdot X) [1 \otimes \pi(S^{-1}(h_2)h_4)] \\ &= \sum_{(h)} [1 \otimes \pi(S(h_4)h_5)] (h_1 \cdot X) [1 \otimes \pi(S^{-1}(h_2)h_3)] \\ &= \sum_{(h)} (\underbrace{h_1\epsilon(h_2)\epsilon(h_3)} \cdot X) = h \cdot X. \end{aligned}$$

This formula, along with (5.1), now clearly yields the result. ■

Next, we translate the definitions of  $B_\pi^l$  and  $B_\pi^r$  into the  $*$  context. Part (ii) does not require that  $H$  is cocommutative.

(5.4) LEMMA: Let  $B \in \mathcal{H}(A, H)$  and let  $X \in B \otimes C$ .

(i)  $X \in B_\pi^l$  if and only if

$$\epsilon(h)X = \sum_{(h)} (h_1 * X) [1 \otimes \pi(h_2)] \quad \text{for all } h \in H.$$

(ii)  $X \in B_\pi^r$  if and only if

$$\epsilon(h)X = \sum_{(h)} [1 \otimes \pi(S^{-1}(h_2))] (h_1 * X) \quad \text{for all } h \in H.$$

(iii)  $B_\pi^l$  is a left  $B_\pi^m$ -module and  $B_\pi^r$  is a right  $B_\pi^m$ -module.

*Proof:*

(i) If  $h \in H$ , then cocommutativity yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(h)} (h_1 * X) [1 \otimes \pi(h_2)] &= \sum_{(h)} [1 \otimes \pi(h_2)] (h_1 \cdot X) [1 \otimes \pi(S(h_3)h_4)] \\ &= \sum_{(h)} [1 \otimes \pi(h_2 \epsilon(h_3))] (h_1 \cdot X) \\ &= \sum_{(h)} [1 \otimes \pi(h_2)] (h_1 \cdot X). \end{aligned}$$

The new characterization of  $B_\pi^l$  now follows from equation (1.2).

(ii) Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(h)} [1 \otimes \pi(S^{-1}(h_2))] (h_1 * X) &= \sum_{(h)} [1 \otimes \pi(S^{-1}(h_4)h_3)] (h_1 \cdot X) [1 \otimes \pi(S^{-1}(h_2))] \\ &= \sum_{(h)} (h_1 \cdot X) [1 \otimes \pi(S^{-1}(h_2 \epsilon(h_3)))] \\ &= \sum_{(h)} (h_1 \cdot X) [1 \otimes \pi(S^{-1}(h_2))]. \end{aligned}$$

The new characterization of  $B_\pi^r$  is now clear from equation (1.3).

(iii) This follows from (i) and (ii) above since  $B_\pi^m = (B \otimes C)^{*H}$ . ■

The subsets  $B_\pi^l$  and  $B_\pi^r$  need not be  $*H$ -stable. Nevertheless, we have

(5.5) LEMMA: If  $B \in \mathcal{H}(A, H)$ , then  $\text{l.ann}_{B \otimes C} B_\pi^l$  and  $\text{r.ann}_{B \otimes C} B_\pi^r$  are both  $*H$ -stable.

*Proof:* Let  $X \in \text{l.ann}_{B \otimes C} B_\pi^l$ . Then part (i) of the previous lemma implies that, for any  $h \in H$  and  $Y \in B_\pi^l$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (h * X)Y &= \sum_{(h)} (h_1 * X) \epsilon(h_2)Y \\ &= \sum_{(h)} (h_1 * X)(h_2 * Y) [1 \otimes \pi(h_3)] \\ &= \sum_{(h)} (h_1 * XY) [1 \otimes \pi(h_2)] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

since  $\ast$  is a measuring and  $XY = 0$ . Thus  $h \ast X \in \text{l.ann}_{B \otimes C} B_\pi^l$ .

Now assume that  $X \in \text{r.ann}_{B \otimes C} B_\pi^r$ . If  $h \in H$  and  $Y \in B_\pi^r$ , then part (ii) of the previous lemma yields

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\underbrace{h \ast X}) &= \sum_{(h)} \underbrace{\epsilon(h_2)Y(h_1 \ast X)} \\ &= \sum_{(h)} \left[ 1 \otimes \pi(S^{-1}(h_3)) \right] \underbrace{(h_2 \ast Y)(h_1 \ast X)} \\ &= \sum_{(h)} \left[ 1 \otimes \pi(S^{-1}(h_2)) \right] (h_1 \ast \underbrace{YX}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

since  $YX = 0$  and  $H$  is cocommutative. Thus  $h \ast X \in \text{r.ann}_{B \otimes C} B_\pi^r$  and the lemma is proved. ■

The next result would be slightly easier to prove if  $K$  was assumed to be a splitting field for  $\pi$ . In that case,  $C = M_{d_\ast}(K)$  is a full matrix ring over  $K$  and the ideals of  $A \otimes C$  are all extended from those of  $A$ .

(5.6) LEMMA: Assume that  $H$  is strongly semiprime and that  $A$  is  $H$ -semiprime. If  $B \in \mathcal{H}(A, H)$ , then  $B \otimes C$  is  $H$ -semiprime and  $\ast H$ -semiprime.

*Proof:* We first consider  $B = A$  and restrict our attention to the  $\cdot$  action. Since  $H$  acts trivially on  $C$ , it follows that  $(A \otimes C) \# H = (A \# H) \otimes C$  and observe that the latter algebra is a direct summand of  $(A \# H) \otimes H$ . Next, since  $H$  is strongly semiprime and  $A$  is  $H$ -semiprime, we note that  $A \# H$  is semiprime and then that  $(A \# H) \otimes H$  is semiprime. Thus  $(A \# H) \otimes C = (A \otimes C) \# H$  is semiprime and we conclude that  $A \otimes C$  is  $H$ -semiprime. In view of Lemma 5.3(iii),  $A \otimes C$  is also  $\ast H$ -semiprime.

Now let  $B = RL \in \mathcal{H}(A, H)$  and observe that  $B \otimes C = (R \otimes C)(L \otimes C)$ . Note also that  $R \otimes C$  and  $L \otimes C$  are  $H$ -stable and  $\ast H$ -stable right and left ideals of  $A \otimes C$  by Lemma 5.3(iii). Furthermore, since  $B \text{ reg } B$ , freeness implies that  $B \text{ reg } (B \otimes C)$  and hence that  $(B \otimes C) \text{ reg } (B \otimes C)$ . In other words,  $B \otimes C$  is contained in both  $\mathcal{H}(A \otimes C, H)$  and  $\mathcal{H}(A \otimes C, \ast H)$ . By [OPQ, Lemma 4.4] and the properties of  $A \otimes C$ , we conclude that  $B \otimes C$  is both  $H$ -semiprime and  $\ast H$ -semiprime. ■

We can now obtain the main result of this section.

(5.7) THEOREM: Let  $H$  be a finite-dimensional cocommutative Hopf algebra over the field  $K$  and let  $A$  be an  $H$ -module algebra with 1. Assume that  $H$  is

strongly semiprime and that  $A$  is  $H$ -semiprime. If  $B \in \mathcal{H}(A, H)$ ,  $\pi \in \text{Irr}(H)$  and  $C = \pi(H)$ , then the following are equivalent.

- (i)  $B_\pi^l B_\pi^r \text{reg } B_\pi^m$ .
- (ii)  $B_\pi^l B_\pi^r \text{reg } (B \otimes C)$ .
- (iii)  $\text{l.ann}_{B_\pi^m} B_\pi^l = 0 = \text{r.ann}_{B_\pi^m} B_\pi^r$ .
- (iv)  $\text{l.ann}_{B \otimes C} B_\pi^l = 0 = \text{r.ann}_{B \otimes C} B_\pi^r$ .

*Proof:*

- (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $I$  denote the right or left annihilator of  $B_\pi^l B_\pi^r$  in  $B \otimes C$ . Since  $B_\pi^l B_\pi^r \subseteq B_\pi^m = (B \otimes C)^{*H}$ , it follows that  $I$  is a  $*H$ -stable left or right ideal by [OPQ, Lemma 1.4(ii)]. Now assumption (i) implies that  $0 = I \cap B_\pi^m = I \cap (B \otimes C)^{*H}$ . Thus, since  $B \otimes C$  is  $*H$ -semiprime and  $H$  is strongly semiprime, [OPQ, Proposition 4.3(ii)] implies that  $I = 0$ .
- (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) This is obvious.
- (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) Let  $I = \text{l.ann}_{B \otimes C} B_\pi^l$ , so that  $I$  is a  $*H$ -stable left ideal of  $B \otimes C$  by Lemma 5.5. Now assumption (iii) implies that  $0 = I \cap B_\pi^m = I \cap (B \otimes C)^{*H}$ . Thus, as above, we conclude that  $I = 0$ . The argument for  $J = \text{r.ann}_{B \otimes C} B_\pi^r$  is similar.
- (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Let  $\alpha \in B_\pi^m$  with  $B_\pi^l B_\pi^r \alpha = 0$  and note that  $\alpha B_\pi^l \subseteq B_\pi^l$  by Lemma 5.4(iii). Thus, by Lemma 5.1,  $B_\pi^r \alpha B_\pi^l$  is a two-sided ideal of  $B^H \otimes C$  and this ideal is nilpotent since  $B_\pi^l B_\pi^r \alpha = 0$ . On the other hand,  $B \otimes C$  is  $H$ -semiprime, so [OPQ, Proposition 4.3(i)] implies that  $(B \otimes C)^H = B^H \otimes C$  is semiprime. Thus we conclude that  $B_\pi^r \alpha B_\pi^l = 0$  and, in particular, that  $B_\pi^r \alpha \subseteq \text{l.ann}_{B \otimes C} B_\pi^l = 0$  by assumption (iv). Furthermore, this yields  $\alpha \in \text{r.ann}_{B \otimes C} B_\pi^r = 0$ , by (iv) again, and hence we have shown that  $\text{r.ann}_{B_\pi^m} B_\pi^l B_\pi^r = 0$ . Since the argument for the left annihilator is similar, the theorem is proved. ■

Note that condition (i) must be considered when we compute the Connes spectrum  $\text{CS}(A, H)$ . On the other hand, we suspect that the equivalent condition (iii) will turn out to be much easier to deal with in general.

Finally, the first author would like to thank Declan Quinn for several interesting conversations and suggestions.

## References

- [BeM] J. Bergen and S. Montgomery, *Smash products and outer derivations*, Israel J. Math. **53** (1986), 321–345.
- [BCM] R. J. Blattner, M. Cohen and S. Montgomery, *Crossed products and inner actions of Hopf algebras*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **298** (1986), 671–711.
- [Ho] G. Hochschild, *Representations of restricted Lie algebras in characteristic  $p$* , Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **5** (1954), 603–605.
- [HI] R. B. Howlett and I. M. Isaacs, *On groups of central type*, Math. Z. **179** (1982), 555–569.
- [I] I. M. Isaacs, *Character Theory of Finite Groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- [L] R. G. Larson, *Characters of Hopf algebras*, J. Algebra **17** (1971), 352–368.
- [LR] R. G. Larson and D. E. Radford, *Semisimple cosemisimple Hopf algebras*, Amer. J. Math. **109** (1987), 187–195.
- [OPQ] J. Osterburg, D. S. Passman and D. Quinn, *A Connes spectrum for Hopf algebras*, Contemporary Math. **130** (1992), 311–334.
- [P] D. S. Passman, *Infinite Crossed Products*, Academic Press, Boston, 1989.